IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 8570 of 1988
with
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 8580 of 1988
with
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3044 of 1989

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

@

BANCOALUMINIUM LTD

Versus

CHIMANBHAI B RAJPUT

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 8570 of 1988
MR KM PATEL for Petitioner No. 1
RULE SERVED for Respondent No. 1
MR MR MENGDEY, AGP for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL

Date of decision: 03/09/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

During the course of hearing of the petitions, the learned counsel for the common petitioner has produced copies of 2(P) Settlements arrived at between the petitioner and its workmen, under the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, on December 3, 1999, for perusal of the Court. The copies of the two Settlements are ordered to be taken on record of the three petitions. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the above-referred to two Settlements, the petitions do not survive and be disposed of accordingly.

Accordingly, rule is discharged in each petition with no order as to costs. Each petition stands disposed of as having become infructuous. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to move the Court in case of difficulty.

(J.M.Panchal,J.)

(patel)